arXiv:1606.06255v1 [math.DS] 20 Jun 2016

Continuity of reachable sets of restricted affine control systems

Víctor Ayala*
Universidad de Tarapacá
Instituto de Alta Investigación
Casilla 7D, Arica, Chile
and
Adriano Da Silva
Instituto de Matemática,
Universidade Estadual de Campinas
Cx. Postal 6065, 13.081-970 Campinas-SP, Brasil.

June 21, 2016

Abstract

In this paper we give a direct proof that for a restricted affine control system on a connected manilfold M, the associated reachable sets up to time t varies contnuously with the Haudorff metric.

Key words Affine system, accesible sets, continuity and Hausdorff metric

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 93B03, 93B99, 93C15

1 Introduction

Accesible sets of control system have been studied for many people. Just to mention a few, the description of these class of sets have been analyzed by, Darken [1], Gronski [3], Lobry [5] and Sussmann and Jurdjevic [7].

On the other hand, in his book [6] Pontryagin shows that for a restricted linear systems on Euclidean spaces, the accessible sets deform continuously with the Hausdorff metric. By a direct proof in this paper we obtain the same results for a more general class of control systems.

Consider any restricted affine control system on a connected Riemannian $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-manifold M, determined by the family of differential equations

$$\Sigma_\Omega : \qquad \dot{x}(t) = f_0(x(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i(t) f_i(x(t)), \quad \text{where} \quad u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega.$$

*Supported by Proyecto Fondecyt n^o 1150292, Conicyt, Chile

Where $\mathcal{U}_\Omega := \{u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m);\ u(t) \in \Omega\}$ is the class of admissible control functions with Ω being a compact and convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$.

If $x \in M$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega$, $\varphi(t, x, u)$ denotes the Σ_Ω-solution satisfying $\varphi(0, x, u) = x$. The reachable set $\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)$ of Σ_Ω is builded with the points of M which are possible to reach starting from the initial condition x, through concatenation of Σ_Ω-solutions in nonnegative time less or equal than t.

It is well known that the map

$$(t, x, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times M \times \mathcal{U}_\Omega \mapsto \varphi(t, x, u) \in M.$$

is continuous. Furthermore, the set $\mathcal{U}_\Omega$ is a compact metrizable space in the weak* topology of $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m) = L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m)^*$ (see for example [4]).

In this paper we give a direct proof that for a restricted affine control system Σ_Ω on a connected manilfold M, the associated reachable sets up to time t varies continuously. Precisely, the map

$$(t, x, \Omega) \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x) \subset M$$

is continuous.

In this case, the last variable belongs to the metric space $(\mathrm{Co}(\mathbb{R}^m), d_H)$ where

$$\mathrm{Co}(\mathbb{R}^m) := \{\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m;\ \Omega \text{ is a non-empty compact convex subset}\}$$

and d_H is the Hausdorff metric. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x) \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ where $(\mathcal{C}(M), \varrho_H)$ is the metric space of all non-empty compact subsets of M with the Hausdorff metric.

As a consequence, any continuous functional J defined on the accessible set $\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)$ has a minimum and maximum. In fact, $J\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)\right)$ is compact.

2 Control affine systems

Let M be a connected Riemannian $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-manifold and $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_m \in \mathcal{X}^\infty(M)$ vector fields. A **control affine system** is the family of ordinary differential equations

$$\Sigma_\Omega : \qquad \dot{x}(t) = f_0(x(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} u_i(t) f_i(x(t)), \quad \text{where} \quad u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega.$$

The **set of the control functions** $\mathcal{U}_\Omega$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{U}_\Omega := \{u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m);\ \ u(t) \in \Omega\}$$

with Ω being a compact and convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$. It is well known that the set of the control functions is a compact metrizable space in the weak* topology of $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m) = L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m)^*$ (see for instance Proposition 1.14 of [4]). For a given initial state $x \in M$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega$ we denote the solution of Σ_Ω by $\varphi(t, x, u)$. The curve $t \mapsto \varphi(t, x, u)$ is the only solution of Σ_Ω satisfying $\varphi(0, x, u) = x$

in the sense of Caratheodóry, that is, it is an absolutely continuous curve that satisfies the corresponding integral equation. Throughout we assume that all the solutions are defined in the whole real line. Even though this assumption is in general restrictive, there are several cases where the assumption of completeness goes without loss of generality, such as linear systems on Lie groups and control affine systems on compact manifolds.

Moreover, the map

$$(t, x, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times M \times \mathcal{U}_\Omega \mapsto \varphi(t, x, u) \in M$$

is a continuous map (see for instance Theorem 1.1 of [4]).

For a given state $x \in M$ we introduce the sets,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x) := \{y \in M;\ \exists u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega, s \in [0,t] \text{ with } \ y = \varphi(s, x, u)\}, \ \ t > 0,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_\Omega(x) := \bigcup_{t>0} \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x).$$

The set $\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)$ is called the **set of points reachable from x up to time t**; the set $\mathcal{R}_\Omega(x)$ is called **the set of points reachable from x.**

By considering the set of the piecewise control functions $\mathcal{U}_\Omega^{\mathrm{PC}} \subset \mathcal{U}_\Omega$, the set

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{PC}}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x) := \left\{y \in M;\ \exists u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega^{\mathrm{PC}}, s \in [0,t] \text{ with } \ y = \varphi(s, x, u)\right\}$$

satisfies, by Proposition 1.16 of [4], the following

$$\mathrm{cl}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{PC}}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)\right) = \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x) \quad \text{for any} \quad t > 0, x \in M.$$

Our aim in this paper is to show that the set of reachable points up to time t varies continuously in the Hausdorff measure. In order to do that we need to make some remarks. If $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ are compact convex subsets, we can consider the control affine systems Σ_{Ω_1} and Σ_{Ω_2} with set of control functions $\mathcal{U}_{\Omega_1}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\Omega_2}$, respectively. Since by the very definition of such sets we have that $\mathcal{U}_{\Omega_1} \subset \mathcal{U}_{\Omega_2}$, the unicity of the solutions imply that all the solutions of Σ_{Ω_1} are also solutions of Σ_{Ω_2}. Also, the set $\mathcal{U}_{\Omega_1}$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{U}_{\Omega_2}$ in the weak*-topology.

Let us also remark that the sets

$$W_{u,\gamma}(x_1, \ldots, x_k) := \left\{u' \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega;\ \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle u(s) - u'(s), x_i(s)\rangle \mathrm{ds}\right| < \gamma \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, k\right\}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, form a subbasis for the weak*-topology (see [2]).

3 Continuity

Theorem 1 *The map*

$$(t, x, \Omega) \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)$$

is continuous in the Hausdorff measure.

The theorem follows from the next three lemmas:

Lemma 2 *The map $\Omega \to \mathcal{R}_{t,\Omega}(x)$ is continuous.*

Proof. Fix Ω and consider $\widehat{\Omega}$ such that $\Omega \subset \operatorname{int}\widehat{\Omega}$. Since

$$(t,x,u) \in \mathbb{R} \times M \times \mathcal{U}_{\widehat{\Omega}} \mapsto \varphi(t,x,u) \in M$$

is a continuous map we have, by fixing $x \in M$, that the map

$$(s,u) \in [0,t] \times \mathcal{U}_{\widehat{\Omega}} \mapsto \varphi_x(t,u) := \varphi(t,x,u) \in M$$

is uniformly continuous.

By Proposition 1.6 of [4], for any $u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega$ there exists a piecewise constant function $u' \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega^{\mathrm{PC}}$ such that

$$\varrho(\varphi_x(s,u), \varphi_x(s,u')) < \varepsilon/2, \quad \text{for any} \quad s \in [0,t].$$

Being that $\mathcal{U}_\Omega$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{U}_{\widehat{\Omega}}$ we have by continuity that there are piecewise constant function $u_1, \ldots, u_m \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega$ and $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{U}_\Omega \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} W_{u_i,\gamma_i}(x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,k_i}), \quad \text{for } x_{i,j} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m),$$

and for any $s \in [0,t], u \in W_{u_i,\gamma_i}(x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,k_i})$ we have that

$$\varrho(\varphi_x(s,u), \varphi_x(s,u_i)) < \varepsilon/2, \;\; 1 \le i \le m.$$

Claim 1: There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega$ there exists $i^* \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that

$$W_{u,\epsilon}(\Delta) \subset W_{u_{i^*},\gamma_{i^*}}(x_{i^*,1}, \ldots, x_{i^*,k_{i^*}})$$

where $\Delta := \{x_{i,j}, 1 \le j \le k_i, 1 \le i \le m\}$.

For any $u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega$ let $\delta_u > 0$ such that $W_{v,\epsilon_u}(\Delta) \subset W_{u_i,\gamma_i}(x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,k_i})$ for some $1 \le i \le m$. Since $\mathcal{U}_\Omega$ is compact, there exist $v_1, \ldots, v_l \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega$ and $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_l > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{U}_\Omega \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{l} W_{v_k,\epsilon_k/2}(\Delta) \quad \text{with} \quad W_{v_k,\epsilon_k}(\Delta) \subset W_{u_i,\gamma_i}(x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,k_i}),$$

where $1 \le i \le m$. Let then $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_k/2$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$.

For any $u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega$, let $\bar{u} \in W_{u,\epsilon}(\Delta)$. Consider $k \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ such that $u \in W_{v_k,\epsilon_k/2}(\Delta)$. Then

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \bar{u}(s) - v_k(s), x_{i,j}(s) \rangle \mathrm{ds} \right| \le$$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \bar{u}(s) - u(s), x_{i,j}(s) \rangle \mathrm{ds} \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle u(s) - v_k(s), x_{i,j}(s) \rangle \mathrm{ds} \right| < \epsilon + \epsilon_k/2 < \epsilon_k,$$

showing that $W_{u,\epsilon}(\Delta) \subset W_{v_k,\epsilon_k}(\Delta) \subset W_{u_{i^*},\gamma_i}(x_{i,1},\dots,x_{i^*,k_{i^*}})$ for some $i^* \in \{1,\dots,m\}$ as stated.

Claim 2: For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if Ω' and $\gamma \in (0,\delta_1)$ are such that $N_\gamma(\Omega') \subset \operatorname{int}\widehat{\Omega}$ then

$$\Omega \subset N_\gamma(\Omega') \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega'}(x)).$$

Let $T > t$ be such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-T,T]} |x_{i,j}(s)|\,\mathrm{ds} < \frac{\epsilon}{2\mathrm{diam}\widehat{\Omega}}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq k_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

and denote by

$$M := \max\left\{ \int_{-T}^{T} |x_{i,j}(s)|\,\mathrm{ds}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k_i, 1 \leq j \leq m \right\}.$$

Consider $\delta_1 > 0$ be such that $\delta_1 < \epsilon/2M$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and let Ω' and $\gamma \in (0,\delta_1)$ satisfying $\Omega \subset N_\gamma(\Omega') \subset \operatorname{int}\widehat{\Omega}$.

Since u_i is a piecewise continuous, it assume finite values $c_{1,i},\dots,c_{n_i,i} \in \Omega$ when restricted to $[-T,T]$. Let $c'_{1,i},\dots,c'_{n_i,i} \in \Omega'$ be such that $|c_{j,i} - c'_{j,i}| < \gamma$ for $j = 1,\dots,n_i$. Define

$$u'_i(s) := \begin{cases} c'_{i,j}, & \text{if } u_i(s) = c_{i,j}, \quad \text{and} \quad s \in [0,t] \\ p'_i, & \text{for } s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-T,T], \end{cases}$$

where $p'_i \in \Omega'$ are arbitrary points. The functions u'_i for $i = 1,\dots,m$ are piecewise constant functions which implies that $u'_i \in \mathcal{U}_{\Omega'}$. Moreover, for $1 \leq j \leq k_i$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle u_i(s) - u'_i(s), x_{i,j}(s) \rangle \mathrm{ds} \right|$$

$$< \gamma \int_{-T}^{T} |x_{i,j}(s)|\,\mathrm{ds} + \mathrm{diam}\widehat{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-T,T]} |x_{i,j}(s)|\,\mathrm{ds} < \epsilon < \gamma_i$$

showing that $u'_i \in W_{u_i,\gamma_i}(x_{i,1},\dots,x_{i,k_i})$.

Consider now $y \in \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)$ and let $u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega$, $s \in [0,t]$ and $i \in \{1,\dots,m\}$ such that

$$y = \varphi_x(s,u) \quad \text{with} \quad u \in W_{u_i,\gamma_i}(x_{i,1},\dots,x_{i,k_i}).$$

We have that

$$\varrho(\varphi_x(s,u'_i),\varphi_x(s,u)) \leq \varrho(\varphi_x(s,u'_i),\varphi_x(s,u_i)) + \varrho(\varphi_x(s,u_i),\varphi_x(s,u)) < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varphi_x(s,u'_i) \in \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega'}(x)$ we have that $y \in N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega'}(x))$ and consequently that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega'}(x))$$

as stated.

Claim 3: For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $N_{\delta_2}(\Omega) \subset \text{int}\widehat{\Omega}$ and

$$\Omega' \subset N_{\delta_2}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega'}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)).$$

Since $\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega'}(x) = \text{cl}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\text{PC}}_{\leq t,\Omega'}(x)\right)$ it is enough for us to show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta_2 > 0$ such $N_{\delta_2}(\Omega) \subset \text{int}\widehat{\Omega}$ and

$$\Omega' \subset N_{\delta_2}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\text{PC}}_{\leq t,\Omega'}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)\right)$$

Take $\delta_2 > 0$ be such that $\delta_2 < \epsilon/2M$ and $N_{\delta_2}(\Omega) \subset \text{int}\,\widehat{\Omega}$. For any $\Omega' \subset N_{\delta_2}(\Omega)$ let $z \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{PC}}_{\leq t,\Omega'}(x)$ and $s \in [0,t]$, $u' \in \mathcal{U}^{\text{PC}}_{\Omega'}$ such that $z = \varphi_x(s,u')$. If $c'_1,\ldots,c'_m$ are the values assumed by u' in $[-T,T]$, there are $c_1,\ldots,c_m \in \Omega$ such that $|c_i - c'_i| < \delta_2$. Define the piecewise constant function $u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega$ by

$$u(s) := \begin{cases} c_i, & \text{if } u'(s) = c'_i \quad \text{and} \quad s \in [0,t] \\ p, & \text{if } s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-T,T], \end{cases}$$

where $p \in \Omega$ is an arbitrary point. Therefore for $1 \leq j \leq k_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle u'(s) - u(s), x_{i,j}(s) \rangle \text{ds} \right|$$

$$\delta_2 \int_{-T}^{T} |x_{i,j}(s)|\,\text{ds} + \text{diam}\widehat{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-T,T]} |x_{i,j}(s)|\,\text{ds} < \epsilon$$

showing that $u' \in W_{u,\epsilon}$ and implying that $u' \in W_{u_i,\gamma_i}(x_{i,1},\ldots,x_{i,k_i})$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Therefore,

$$\varrho(\varphi_x(s,u'),\varphi_x(s,u_i)) < \varepsilon$$

showing that $z \in N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega})$. Since $z \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{PC}}_{\leq t,\Omega'}(x)$ was arbitrary we conclude that

$$\mathcal{R}^{\text{PC}}_{t,\Omega'}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{R}_{t,\Omega}(x))$$

as claimed.

Claim 4: The map $\Omega \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)$ is continuous in the Hausdorff measure.

For given Ω and $\varepsilon > 0$ let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2/2\}$ where δ_1, δ_2 are given in the claims 1. and 2. We have that

$$d_H(\Omega,\Omega') < \delta \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Omega \subset N_\delta(\Omega') \text{ and } \Omega' \subset N_\delta(\Omega).$$

By Claim 3. we get that

$$\Omega' \subset N_\delta(\Omega) \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega'}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)).$$

Moreover,

$$\Omega' \subset N_\delta(\Omega) \Rightarrow N_\delta(\Omega') \subset N_{2\delta}(\Omega) \subset N_{\delta_2}(\Omega) \subset \text{int}\widehat{\Omega}$$

and so, Claim 2 implies that

$$\Omega \subset N_\delta(\Omega') \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega'}(x))$$

showing

$$d_H(\Omega,\Omega') < \delta \Rightarrow \varrho_H(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x), \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega'}(x)) < \varepsilon$$

as stated. ■

Lemma 3 *The map $t \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)$ is continuous.*

Proof. For any $u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega$ there exists by continuity $\delta_u > 0$ and V_u a neighborhood of u in $\mathcal{U}_\Omega$ such that

$$|s-t| < \gamma_u \ \text{ and } \ v \in V_u \Rightarrow \varrho(\varphi_x(t,u), \varphi_x(s,v)) < \varepsilon/2.$$

Since $\mathcal{U}_\Omega$ is compact, there exist $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ such that

$$\mathcal{U}_\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_n.$$

By taking $\gamma = \min_{1\leq i\leq n}\{\gamma_{u_i}\}$ we have that for any $s, s' \in (t-\gamma/2, t+\gamma/2)$ and $u, u' \in V_i$ that

$$\varrho(\varphi_x(s,u), \varphi_x(s',u')) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for some} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \tag{1}$$

By taking $\delta = \gamma/2$ we have for $s \in (t-\delta, t+\delta)$ that

(i) if $s \geq t$ then

$$\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x) \subset \mathcal{R}_{\leq s,\Omega}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq s,\Omega}(x)\right).$$

Also, if $z \in \mathcal{R}_{\leq s,\Omega}(x)$ we have that $z = \varphi(s', x, u)$ for some $s' \in [0, s]$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega$. Then

(a) if $s' \leq t$ we have $z \in \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)\right)$;

(b) if $s' > t$ we have that $s' \in [t, s] \subset (t-\delta, t+\delta)$ and so, by taking V_i such that $u \in V_i$ equation (1) gives that

$$\varrho(\varphi_x(s',u), \varphi_x(t,u')) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for any} \quad u' \in V_i$$

implying that $z \in N_\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)\right)$.

Since $z \in \mathcal{R}_{\leq s,\Omega}(x)$ was arbitrary we conclude that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\leq s,\Omega}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x));$$

(ii) if $s < t$ we have that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\leq s,\Omega}(x) \subset \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)\right).$$

Also, for any $z \in \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)$ we have that $z = \varphi(t', x, u)$ for some $t' \in [0, t]$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega$. Then

(c) if $t' \leq s$ we have $z \in \mathcal{R}_{\leq s,\Omega}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq s,\Omega}(x)\right)$;

(d) if $t' > s$ we have that $t' \in [s,t] \subset (t-\delta, t+\delta)$ and so, by taking V_i such that $u \in V_i$ equation (1) gives that

$$\varrho(\varphi_x(t',u),\varphi_x(t,u')) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for any} \quad u' \in V_i$$

implying that $z \in N_\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq s,\Omega}(x)\right)$.

Since $z \in \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)$ was arbitrary we conclude that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{R}_{\leq s,\Omega}(x));$$

Therefore, if $s \in (t-\delta, t+\delta)$ we have that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{R}_{\leq s,\Omega}(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_{\leq s,\Omega}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x))$$

if and only if

$$\varrho_H\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x), \mathcal{R}_{\leq s,\Omega}(x)\right) < \varepsilon$$

showing the result. ■

Lemma 4 *The map $x \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $x \in M$ and $t > 0$ fixed and consider $\varepsilon > 0$. By continuity of the solutions and compacity of $[0,t] \times \mathcal{U}_\Omega$ we can find $\delta > 0$ such that

$$y \in B(x,\delta) \Rightarrow \varrho(\varphi_{s,u}(x), \varphi_{s,u}(y)) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } (s,u) \in [0,t] \times \mathcal{U}_\Omega$$

where $\varphi_{t,u}(x) := \varphi(t,x,u)$. Then, for $z \in \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)$ let $s \in [0,t]$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}_\Omega$ such that $z = \varphi_{s,u}(x)$. If $y \in B(x,\delta)$ we have by above that $\varrho(\varphi_{s,u}(x), \varphi_{s,u}(y)) < \varepsilon$ showing that $z \in \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(y)$ and implying that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x) \subset N_\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(y)\right).$$

In an analogous way we can show that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(y) \subset N_\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)\right)$$

and so

$$\varrho(x,y) < \delta \Rightarrow \varrho_H\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x), \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(y)\right)$$

concluding the proof. ■

Now we are able to prove our main result.

Theorem 5 *The map $(t,x,\Omega) \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)$ is a continuous map.*

Proof. Since

$$\varrho_H\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t',\Omega'}(x'), \mathcal{R}_{t,\Omega}(x)\right) \leq \varrho_H\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t',\Omega'}(x'), \mathcal{R}_{\leq t',\Omega'}(x)\right)$$

$$+\varrho_H\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t',\Omega'}(x), \mathcal{R}_{\leq t',\Omega}(x)\right)) + \varrho_H\left(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t',\Omega}(x), \mathcal{R}_{\leq t,\Omega}(x)\right)$$

the result follows from the above lemmas. ■

References

[1] J. Darken. *Accesible sets for analytical control systems in* $\mathbb{R}^2$, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 145, pp. 197-215.

[2] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz. *Linear operators, Part I: General Theory*, Wiley-Interscience, (1977). Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis 10, (2001), pp. 847-857.

[3] J. Gronski. *Classification of closed sets of attainability in the plane*, Pacific J. Math. 77, No. 1 (1978), pp. 117-129.

[4] C. Kawan. *Invariance entropy for deterministic control systems - An introduction*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2089. Berlin: Springer (2013).

[5] C. Lobry. *Controllabilite des systemes non lineaires*, SIAM J. Control Optim. 8, No. 4 (November, 1970), pp. 573-605.

[6] L. S. Pontryagin, V. G. Boltyanski, R. S. Gamkrelidze and E. F. Mishchenko. *Mathematical Theory of Optimal Processes*, Interscience, (1962).

[7] H. Sussmann and V. Jurdjevic. *Controllability of nonlinear systems,*, J. Differential Equations 12 (1972).